



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/774,351	02/06/2004	Douglas F. Reynolds	1033-LB1011	5256
60533	7590	07/11/2006		
TOLER SCHAFFER, LLP			EXAMINER	
5000 PLAZA ON THE LAKES			GAUTHIER, GERALD	
SUITE 265				ART UNIT
AUSTIN, TX 78746				PAPER NUMBER
			2614	

DATE MAILED: 07/11/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/774,351	REYNOLDS ET AL.	
	Examiner Gerald Gauthier	Art Unit 2614	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 May 2006.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-53 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-53 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ . |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ . | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ . |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

4. **Claim(s) 1-53** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Roberts (US 2004/0233892 A1) in view of Schwartz (US 4,856,055).

Regarding **claim(s) 1 and 31**, Roberts discloses a call indication method (FIG. 1 and paragraph 0002) comprising:

recognizing a request to complete a voice over Internet protocol (VoIP) call to a called party (paragraph 0025);

initiating delivery of the custom ring information to the called party (FIG. 5 and paragraph 0059).

Roberts fails to disclose receiving custom ring information from a calling party.

However, Schwartz teaches receiving custom ring information from a calling party of the call, the custom ring information representing a desired ring tone to be played to the called party (column 6, lines 13-21).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Roberts using the teaching of the caller selecting a ring as taught by Schwartz.

This modification of the invention enables the system to receive a custom ring information from a calling party so that the user would select the melody or message to be played.

Regarding **claim(s) 2**, Roberts discloses a call indication method, further comprising utilizing a Public Switched Telephone Network node to perform at least one of the recognizing step, the receiving step, and the initiating step (paragraph 0025).

Regarding **claim(s) 3**, Roberts discloses a call indication method, further comprising recognizing that a piece of customer premises equipment associated with the called party comprises specialized ring tone functionality operable to output the desired ring tone (paragraph 0051).

Regarding **claim(s) 4**, Roberts discloses a call indication method, further comprising delivering at least a portion of the custom ring information in VoIP packets (paragraph 0025).

Regarding **claim(s) 5**, Roberts discloses a call indication method, further comprising delivering the custom ring information across a wireline connection comprising a link of coaxial cable operable to carry data traffic (paragraph 0025).

Regarding **claim(s) 6**, Roberts discloses a call indication method, wherein a VOIP switch initiates delivery of the custom ring information to the called party (paragraph 0025).

Regarding **claim(s) 7 and 38**, Roberts discloses a call indication method, further comprising: prompting the calling party to communicate the custom ring information (paragraph 0055); and recording the custom ring information (paragraph 0052).

Regarding **claim(s) 8**, Roberts discloses a call indication method, further comprising utilizing a piece of calling party CPE to perform at least one of the recognizing step, the receiving step, and the initiating step (paragraph 0059).

Regarding **claim(s) 9, 28 and 45**, Roberts discloses a call indication method, wherein at least a portion of the custom ring information has a file format selected from the group consisting of a .WAV file, a .MIDI file, and a .AU file (paragraph 0059).

Regarding **claim(s) 10, 39, 52 and 53**, Roberts discloses a call indication method, wherein at least a portion of the custom ring information represents a spoken message (paragraph 0059).

Regarding **claim(s) 11 and 40**, Roberts discloses a call indication method, wherein recognizing the request to complete the VOIP call occurs after receiving the custom ring information (paragraph 0025).

Regarding **claim(s) 12 and 41**, Roberts discloses a call indication method, further comprising storing the custom ring information in a memory residing in a piece of calling party customer premises equipment (paragraph 0059).

Regarding **claim(s) 13, 37 and 42**, Roberts discloses a call indication method, further comprising storing the custom ring information in a memory located within a service provider network (paragraph 0059).

Regarding **claim(s) 14**, Roberts discloses a call indication method, further comprising: recognizing caller identification information of the calling party (paragraph 0051); and

finding a location in the memory that is storing the custom ring information (paragraph 0052).

Regarding **claim(s) 15, 34 and 49**, Roberts discloses a call indication method, further comprising: recognizing another request to complete a second VOIP call to a second called party (paragraph 0025); and

determining that a second called party does not want to receive the custom ring information (paragraph 0058).

Regarding **claim(s) 16, 35, 46 and 50**, Roberts discloses a call indication method, further comprising blocking delivery of the custom ring information to the second called party (paragraph 0058).

Regarding **claim(s) 17 and 36**, Sloo discloses a call indication method, further comprising: receiving Caller Identification information associated with the second VOIP call (paragraph 0051); and

using the Caller Identification information to determine that the second called party does not want to receive the custom ring information (paragraph 0052).

Regarding **claim(s) 18**, Roberts in combination with Schwartz disclose all the limitations of **claim(s) 18** as stated in **claim(s) 1**'s rejection above and furthermore Roberts discloses an interface operable to receive a calling signal that indicates a request to complete a call from a calling party to a called party (paragraph 0055); and a network node (paragraph 0059).

Regarding **claim(s) 19**, Roberts discloses a ring tone delivery system, wherein the network node comprises a VOIP switch operable to communicatively couple to a plurality of subscribers across links comprising twisted pair wiring (paragraph 0025).

Regarding **claim(s) 20**, Roberts discloses a ring tone delivery system, further comprising a memory maintaining information indicating that the called party has a piece of telephonic equipment capable of outputting the calling party selected ring tone, wherein the piece of telephonic equipment is selected from a group consisting of a computer, a telephone communicatively coupled to a twisted pair network, a cordless

telephone, a VOIP telephone, a cellular telephone, a fixed wireless telephone, and an 802.1 1(x) telephone (paragraph 0025).

Regarding **claim(s) 21**, Roberts discloses a ring tone delivery system, wherein the network node is further operable to deliver packetized information across a cable network (paragraph 0025).

Regarding **claim(s) 22**, Roberts discloses a ring tone delivery system, wherein the network node is further operable to deliver packetized information across an XDSL network (paragraph 0025).

Regarding **claim(s) 23**, Roberts discloses a ring tone delivery system, further comprising a custom ring tone block list indicating that a second called party does not want to receive the calling party selected ring tone (paragraph 0058).

Regarding **claim(s) 24**, Roberts discloses a ring tone delivery system, further comprising a broadband modem providing at least a portion of a link communicatively coupling the network node to a piece of telephonic equipment associated with the called party (paragraph 0025).

Regarding **claim(s) 25**, Roberts discloses a ring tone delivery system, further comprising a memory maintaining information indicating an additional communication

address for the called party, the additional communication address selected from the group consisting of an electronic mail address, a Plain Old Telephony Service telephone number, an Instant Messaging address, a Short Messaging Service address, an Enhanced Messaging Service address, a Multimedia Messaging Service address, and a wireless telephone number (paragraph 0025).

Regarding **claim(s) 26 and 43**, Roberts in combination with Schwartz disclose all the limitations of **claim(s) 26 and 43** as stated in **claim(s) 1**'s rejection and furthermore Roberts discloses an electronic device operable to support telephonic communication, the electronic device comprising a housing component (paragraph 0059);
a memory (paragraph 0052);
a user interface for the electronic device operable to receive a user input indicating a desire to place a call to a called party (paragraph 0054); and
an output engine (paragraph 0059).

Regarding **claim(s) 27 and 44**, Roberts discloses a system, wherein the electronic device comprises a computer (paragraph 0059).

Regarding **claim(s) 29**, Roberts discloses a system, wherein the memory stores additional ring tone information representing a second select ring tone, further wherein the select ring tone is associated with the called party and the second select ring tone is associated with a different party (paragraph 0052).

Regarding **claim(s) 30 and 47**, Roberts discloses a system, further comprising an electronic address book comprising a listing for the called party and a second listing for the second party (paragraph 0025).

Regarding **claim(s) 32 and 51**, Roberts discloses a computer-readable medium having additional computer-readable data to determine if the called party desires delivery of the information (paragraph 0025).

Regarding **claim(s) 33 and 48**, Roberts in combination with Schwartz disclose all the limitations of **claim(s) 33** as stated in the **claim(s) 1'** s rejection above and furthermore Roberts discloses determining not to play the first custom ring information to the called party (paragraph 0058).

f

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to **claim(s) 1-53** have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gerald Gauthier whose telephone number is (571) 272-7539. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Fan Tsang can be reached on (571) 272-7547. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).


GERALD GAUTHIER
PATENT EXAMINER

GG
June 27, 2006

Gerald Gauthier
Examiner
Art Unit 2614